$\square$  (2)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	United States of America	
	v. )	
	) Case No. 4:11CR3086-1	
	RUBEN CARRILLO CASTELLON, )	
	Defendant )	
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL	
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts that the defendant be detained pending trial.	
□ (1)	Part I—Findings of Fact  The defendant is absented with an efforce described in 18 H S C S 2142(f)(1) and her previously been consisted.	
$\square$ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
	of $\square$ a federal offense $\square$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal	
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	
	□ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.	
	□ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	<u>*</u>	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:	
	□ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	☐ a minor victim	
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.	
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alternative Findings (A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .	
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure

the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Alternati	ive Fin	dings	<b>(B)</b>
-----------	---------	-------	------------

	Att	ernative rindings (b)
X (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will not appear.
X (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		nent of the Reasons for Detention  bmitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
	ng evidence □ a preponderance of the	
	•	rm to the public; the defendant is currently in custody on state charges time; defendant is the subject of an ICE detainer. Detention hearing
	Part III—D	irections Regarding Detention
in a corr pending order of	ections facility separate, to the extent prac appeal. The defendant must be afforded a	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement ticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On many for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shal for a court appearance.
Date:	September 1, 2011	s/Chervl R. Zwart

United States Magistrate Judge